## The Summation Convention

## Week One Echoes

## Vectors have Magnitude, Direction \& Sense.

Product of vectors carry several meanings

Can be 1. Added; 2. Scaled; 3. Two products between two vectors defined: One produces a vector result, the other produces a scalar result.

Adjectives needed to disambiguate products of vectors.
Dot product: Shorthand for the product of a projection \& vector projected upon.
Vector product: Area of the parallelogram formed by the vectors, outward vector to the surface as direction.

## More Echoes

- Linear Independence
- Vectors in a set that cannot be expressed in terms of the others.
- Set containing the max number of linearly independent vectors forms a basis for the space.
- The number of vectors in the basis set determines the dimension of the space.
- Orthonormal Basis Set (Cartesian Vectors)
- Vectors are linearly independent, Set forms a basis
- Main advantage: Components by simply taking a dot product.
- Other basis are equally valid. Only linear independence matters.


## Terms from Week One

- Important terms from last lecture are shown with Page numbers (P) or Q\&A numbers (Q)
- Areas:
- Rectangle $\rightarrow$ Parallelogram $\rightarrow$ Triangle $\rightarrow$ Trapezium
- Volumes:
- Cuboid $\rightarrow$ Parallelepiped $\rightarrow$ Cone, Pyramid, Tetrahedron, etc.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l}\hline & & & \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Area of a } \\ \text { Parallelogram } \\ \text { P14 }\end{array} & \text { Direction P10 } & \text { Projection } \\ \text { P13 }\end{array}\right]$ Span a Space P19


## Week Two: Scope

| Topic | Description | Slides |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Echoes from Last Week | $1-4$ |
| 2 | Sum, Index Notation \& Summation Convention | $6-16$ |
| 3 | The Kronecker Delta \& Substitution Symbol | $17-23$ |
| 4 | Levi-Civita: The Alternating Symbol | $24-30$ |
| 5 | Scalar, Vector and Tensor Products in Component Form | $31-39$ |

## Vector: Sum of Components

- The fact that vectors can be added allows us to write any vectors as a sum of basis vectors scaled by numbers we call "Components"

$$
\mathbf{f}=\alpha \mathbf{i}+\beta \mathbf{j}+\gamma \mathbf{k}
$$

- The above representation, for any three dimensional vector is instinctive in us. We usually assume the Cartesian Orthonormal basis vector set, \{i, j, k\}.
- Simply renaming $\{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\} \rightarrow\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\}$, we proceed to write the same representation as,

$$
\mathbf{f}=a_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+a_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}+a_{3} \mathbf{e}_{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}
$$

## Sum of Components: Parsimony with Indexing

- This change in the naming of our basis as well as the new strategy of mixing numbers with alphabets in our scaling factors achieves parsimony.
- Note that the variable count reduces from six to two!
- Initially we needed $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$ to describe the vector in component form.
- Now we need only two indexed variables, $\left\{a_{i}, \mathbf{e}_{i}\right\}, i=1, \ldots, 3$
- Imagine we were in a ten dimensional space!

$$
\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \eta, \xi, \zeta, \phi, \kappa, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}\} \Leftrightarrow\left\{a_{i}, \mathbf{e}_{i}\right\}, i=1, \ldots, 10
$$

- If we have larger number of dimensions, it is easy to see that one scheme will exhaust its options while the other is more robust, and can go on!


## Flexibility of Standard Summation

- Transformation Equations below can be written more compactly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+a_{13} x_{3}=\sum_{\overline{\bar{n}}^{1}}^{n} a_{1 j} x_{j} \\
& y_{2}=a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+a_{23} x_{3}=\sum_{\bar{n}^{1}}^{1} a_{2 j} x_{j} \\
& y_{3}=a_{31} x_{1}+a_{32} x_{2}+a_{33} x_{3}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{3 j} x_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summation Compactness

$$
y_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}, i=1, \ldots, 3
$$

| $\mathbf{i}$ | LHS | RHS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $y_{1}$ | $a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+a_{13} x_{3}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1 j} x_{j}$ |
| 2 | $y_{2}$ | $a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+a_{23} x_{3}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{2 j} x_{j}$ |
| 3 | $y_{3}$ | $a_{31} x_{1}+a_{32} x_{2}+a_{33} x_{3}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{3 j} x_{j}$ | and has become the standard notation for Tensors and Continuum Mechanics.

## Move to the Einstein Summation Convention

The rule is simple and looks easy. Learn it as it looks. The logic is consistent.

$\dot{x}$
It becomes complicated fast!

## Points to Note:



## Summation Convention:

No change to your equation. Only helps you to express the same idea in a more compact form.

You will eventually see how a single term can replace 9 terms, or a single equation can replace twenty seven!

## The rule is simple:

Make sure there is NEVER a time when any index is repeated more than ONCE.
Only allow an index to be repeated when such a repetition implies a summation over all the possible values of the index.

- The equation on Slide 5 becomes:

$$
\mathbf{f}=a_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}
$$

- Summation over the index $i$ is disposable as the simple fact that the same index is repeated over $i=1, \ldots, 3 \Rightarrow$ summation over $i$ can be taken for granted.
- A repeating index such as this one is called a dummy index for the simple fact that it can be replaced by any other index that takes values over the same domain. Consequently,

$$
\mathbf{f}=a_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}=a_{j} \mathbf{e}_{j}=\cdots=a_{\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}
$$

provided it is known that $i, j, \ldots, \alpha$ each take values over $1, \ldots, 3$

## Apply to Slide 5

- The equation on Slide 8 becomes:

$$
y_{i}=a_{i j} x_{j}, i=1, \ldots, 3
$$

- Again, the repetition of $j$ means that summation sign over the $j$ is disposable as the simple fact that the same index is repeated over $j=1, \ldots, 3 \Rightarrow$ summation over $j$ can be taken for granted.
- Again, as before, a repeating index can be replaced by any other index that takes values over the same domain. Consequently,

$$
y_{i}=a_{i j} x_{j}=a_{i k} x_{k}=\cdots=a_{i \alpha} x_{\alpha}
$$

provided it is known that $j, k, \ldots, \alpha$ each take values over $1, \ldots, 3$. Note that $i$ is NOT repeated in any term. Such an index is called a free index

## Apply to Slide 8

## Tell-Tale Signs

A trained eye sees almost instinctively when there is an error in an indexed object following the Summation Convention. Here are the things you look for:

A free index must occur once, once only and nothing but once in EACH term of an equation.

If a free index is missing in a term, there is an error.

A dummy index must occur twice. But it DOES NOT have to occur in another term.

## Products \& Terms

- We must now be very careful as to what we mean by "a term"
- Given that $i, j=1, \ldots, 3$, how many terms are in the following expressions?

$$
b_{i}, \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{i j} x_{j}+b_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} z_{i}\left(a_{i j} x_{j}+b_{i}\right)
$$

- How do we represent them by the convention?


## Interpreting Expressions \& Equations

- Consider the equation $a_{i j} a_{j k}=b_{i k}$ representing the matrix Equations $\mathbf{A A}=\mathbf{B}$
- Note that the index $j$ is repeated, hence there is a summation on it. But the indices $i$ and $k$ are free. Note that free indices occur only once in each term.
- Note also that there is a summation on the index $j$ every time. Every expression has that summation by implication from the repetition of the index.


## Matrix Expression

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\
b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- It can be seen that the correct interpretation of the previous slide, by the Summation Convention Rule exactly matches the product of the matrices above.
- This must be worked out manually to get a good feel for it. It DOES NOT come naturally. Common, don't be lazy!
- Transposing the right matrix in product leads to $a_{i j} a_{k j}=b_{i k}$


## Consequences of the Summation Convention

Two important constructs that come as consequences of the summation convention:

1. The Kronecker Delta

- Substitution Symbol
- We will see a more important interpretation after defining tensors

2. The Levi-Civita Three-Index Symbol

- Also called the Alternating Symbol
- Again, a more complete understanding of what it is awaits a formal definition of a tensor


## The Kronecker Delta

- The Kronecker Delta is defined by the following nine Equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{11}=1, \delta_{12}=0, \delta_{13}=0 \\
& \delta_{21}=0, \delta_{22}=1, \delta_{23}=0 \\
& \delta_{31}=0, \delta_{32}=0, \delta_{33}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

- By the index notation, we can write these simply as a single, indexed equation,

$$
\delta_{i j}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i=j \\ 0, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

- No dummy index here; no summation.

The Kronecker Delta is operationally known as the "Substitution Symbol. Here is the reason why:

- Consider the arbitrary Cartesian base vectors, $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{j}$ where we have not committed to the values the indices will take except for the fact that each will take a value 1 or 2 or 3 .
- It is correct to write,

$$
\mathbf{e}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}=\delta_{i j}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i=j \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## Substitution Symbol

## Substitution Symbol

The Kronecker Delta is operationally known as the "Substitution Symbol".
Here is why:

- Consider the arbitrary Cartesian base vectors, $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{j}$ where we have not committed to the values the indices will take except for the fact that each will take a value 1 or 2 or 3 .
- It is correct to write,

$$
\mathbf{e}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}=\delta_{i j}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i=j \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## Substitution Example

Express a vector $\mathbf{v}=v_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}$ in terms of its components.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j} & =v_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}=v_{i} \delta_{i j} \\
& =v_{1} \delta_{1 j}+v_{2} \delta_{2 j}+v_{3} \delta_{3 j}
\end{aligned}
$$

- We now examine the value of both sides for different values of $j$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j=1, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}=v_{1} \delta_{1 j}+v_{2} \delta_{2 j}+v_{3} \delta_{3 j}=v_{1} \delta_{11}+v_{2} \delta_{21}+v_{3} \delta_{31}=v_{1} ; \\
& j=2, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}=v_{1} \delta_{1 j}+v_{2} \delta_{2 j}+v_{3} \delta_{3 j}=v_{1} \delta_{12}+v_{2} \delta_{22}+v_{3} \delta_{32}=v_{2} \text { and } \\
& j=3, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3}=v_{1} \delta_{13}+v_{2} \delta_{23}+v_{3} \delta_{33}=v_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can summarize everything here abd write,

$$
v_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}=v_{i} \delta_{i j}=v_{j}
$$

$$
v_{i} \delta_{i j}=v_{j}
$$

- The last expression shows the way the substitution works
- Whenever the Kronecker delta shares an index with ANY other variable, (LHS: Kronecker Delta shares the index $i$ )
- Take out the shared symbol (LHS: Remove index $i$ from $v$ )
- Replace the removed symbol with the remaining symbol $j$
- Remove the Kronecker Delta. You obtain the result on RHS.
- Observe that following the above steps gives the expected result of the previous slide.


## Substitution@Work

| Product with Kronecker Delta | Shared Symbol to go | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{\alpha \beta} \delta_{i \alpha}$ | $\alpha$ | $S_{i \beta}$ |
| $T_{i j k} \delta_{j \alpha}$ | $j$ | $T_{i \alpha k}$ |
| $\delta_{i j} \delta_{\alpha j}$ | $j$ | $\delta_{i \alpha \alpha}$ |
| $\delta_{i j} \delta_{i j}$ | $i$ or $j$ | $\delta_{i i}=\delta_{j j}=\delta_{11}+\delta_{22}+\delta_{33}=3$ |
| $e_{i j k} \delta_{j k}$ | $j$ or $k$ | $e_{i j j}=e_{i k k}$ |

## Substitution Examples

# Levi-Civita Three-Index Symbol 

- It is a good idea to practice the Kronecker Delta to mastery before trying to understand the next symbol. Once you are good at the former, the latter is very easy.
- Consider a determinant made of only Kronecker Deltas:

$$
e_{i j k} \equiv\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\delta_{1 i} & \delta_{1 j} & \delta_{1 k} \\
\delta_{2 i} & \delta_{2 j} & \delta_{2 k} \\
\delta_{3 i} & \delta_{3 j} & \delta_{3 k}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Where $i, j$ or $k$ may assume values in the usual domain of $1, \ldots, 3$

## Levi-Civita Three-Index Symbol

- Let us allow the values, $i=1, j=2$ and $k=3$. In such a case, we have,

$$
e_{123} \equiv\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\delta_{11} & \delta_{12} & \delta_{13} \\
\delta_{21} & \delta_{22} & \delta_{23} \\
\delta_{31} & \delta_{32} & \delta_{33}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\delta_{11} & \delta_{12} & \delta_{13} \\
\delta_{21} & \delta_{22} & \delta_{23} \\
\delta_{31} & \delta_{32} & \delta_{33}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

Which the determinant of the identity matrix.

## Levi-Civita

- Substituting the appropriate values, we can check and see that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{123}=e_{231}=e_{312}=1 \\
& e_{132}=e_{321}=e_{213}=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

and, all the other cases returning zero. Using the fact that transposition does not alter the value of a determinant, we have an equivalent definition:

$$
e_{r s t}=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\delta_{r 1} & \delta_{r 2} & \delta_{r 3} \\
\delta_{s 1} & \delta_{s 2} & \delta_{s 3} \\
\delta_{t 1} & \delta_{t 2} & \delta_{t 3}
\end{array}\right|
$$

## Products of Alternating Symbols

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{r s t} e_{i j k} & =\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\delta_{r 1} & \delta_{r 2} & \delta_{r 3} \\
\delta_{s 1} & \delta_{s 2} & \delta_{s 3} \\
\delta_{t 1} & \delta_{t 2} & \delta_{t 3}
\end{array}\right|\left[\left.\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{1 i} & \delta_{1 j} & \delta_{1 k} \\
\delta_{2 i} & \delta_{2 j} & \delta_{2 k} \\
\delta_{3 i} & \delta_{3 j} & \delta_{3 k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\,\right. \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\delta_{r 1} \delta_{1 i}+\delta_{r 2} \delta_{2 i}+\delta_{r 3} \delta_{3 i} & \delta_{r \alpha} \delta_{\alpha j} & \delta_{r \alpha} \delta_{\alpha k} \\
\delta_{s \alpha} \delta_{\alpha i} & \delta_{s \alpha} \delta_{\alpha j} & \delta_{s \alpha} \delta_{\alpha k} \\
\delta_{t \alpha} \delta_{\alpha i} & \delta_{t \alpha} \delta_{\alpha j} & \delta_{t \alpha} \delta_{\alpha k}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\delta_{r i} & \delta_{r j} & \delta_{r k} \\
\delta_{s i} & \delta_{s j} & \delta_{s k} \\
\delta_{t i} & \delta_{t j} & \delta_{t k}
\end{array}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

## Products of Alternating Symbols

- Clearly, not forgetting that repetition of an unknown index signifies a summation,
$e_{r s k} e_{i j k}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}\delta_{r i} & \delta_{r j} & \delta_{r k} \\ \delta_{s i} & \delta_{s j} & \delta_{s k} \\ \delta_{k i} & \delta_{k j} & \delta_{k k}\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}\delta_{r i} & \delta_{r j} & \delta_{r k} \\ \delta_{s i} & \delta_{s j} & \delta_{s k} \\ \delta_{k i} & \delta_{k j} & \delta_{11}+\delta_{22}+\delta_{33}\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}\delta_{r i} & \delta_{r j} & \delta_{r k} \\ \delta_{s i} & \delta_{s j} & \delta_{s k} \\ \delta_{k i} & \delta_{k j} & 3\end{array}\right|$
- Expanding the equation, using the third row, we have:


## Expanding the Product

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{r s k} e_{i j k}= & \delta_{k i}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{r j} & \delta_{r k} \\
\delta_{s j} & \delta_{s k}
\end{array}\right|-\delta_{k j}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{r i} & \delta_{r k} \\
\delta_{s i} & \delta_{s k}
\end{array}\right|+3\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{r i} & \delta_{r j} \\
\delta_{s i} & \delta_{s j}
\end{array}\right| \\
= & \delta_{k i}\left(\delta_{r j} \delta_{s k}-\delta_{s j} \delta_{r k}\right)-\delta_{k j}\left(\delta_{r i} \delta_{s k}-\delta_{s i} \delta_{r k}\right) \\
& \quad+3\left(\delta_{r i} \delta_{s j}-\delta_{s i} \delta_{r j}\right) \\
= & \delta_{r j} \delta_{s i}-\delta_{s j} \delta_{r i}-\delta_{r i} \delta_{s j}+\delta_{s i} \delta_{r j}+3\left(\delta_{r i} \delta_{s j}-\delta_{s i} \delta_{r j}\right) \\
= & -2\left(\delta_{r i} \delta_{s j}-\delta_{s i} \delta_{r j}\right)+3\left(\delta_{r i} \delta_{s j}-\delta_{s i} \delta_{r j}\right) \\
= & \delta_{r i} \delta_{s j}-\delta_{s i} \delta_{r j}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Products of <br> Alternating Symbols

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{r j k} e_{i j k} & =\delta_{r i} \delta_{j j}-\delta_{j i} \delta_{r j} \\
& =3 \delta_{r i}-\delta_{r i} \\
& =2 \delta_{r i}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dot Product, Component Form

Recall that, $\mathbf{e}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}=\delta_{i j}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} & =\left(a_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) \cdot\left(b_{j} \mathbf{e}_{j}\right) \\
& =a_{i} b_{j} \mathbf{e}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}=a_{i} b_{j} \delta_{i j} \\
& =a_{i} b_{i} \\
& =a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}+a_{3} b_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note on the second line how we avoided having four indices of the same type by invoking the fact that a dummy variable is mutable.

## Vector Product in Component Form

- Recall that $\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}=\mathbf{e}_{3}, \mathbf{e}_{2} \times$ $\mathbf{e}_{3}=\mathbf{e}_{1}$, and $\mathbf{e}_{3} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}=\mathbf{e}_{2}$. The table here shows that

$$
\mathbf{e}_{i} \times \mathbf{e}_{j}=e_{i j k} \mathbf{e}_{k}
$$

- $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}=\left(a_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) \times\left(b_{j} \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =a_{i} b_{j} \mathbf{e}_{i} \times \mathbf{e}_{j} \\
& =e_{i j k} a_{i} b_{j} \mathbf{e}_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

| $i$ | $j$ | $\mathbf{e}_{i} \times \mathbf{e}_{j}$ | $e_{i j k} \mathbf{e}_{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | $1 \times 1 \sin 90\left(-\mathbf{e}_{2}\right)$ | $e_{13 k} \mathbf{e}_{k}=e_{131} \mathbf{e}_{1}+e_{132} \mathbf{e}_{2}+e_{133} \mathbf{e}_{3}=-\mathbf{e}_{2}$ |
| 1 | 2 | $\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}=\mathbf{e}_{3}$ | $e_{12 k} \mathbf{e}_{k}=e_{121} \mathbf{e}_{1}+e_{122} \mathbf{e}_{2}+e_{123} \mathbf{e}_{3}=\mathbf{e}_{3}$ |
| 2 | 3 | $\mathbf{e}_{2} \times \mathbf{e}_{3}=\mathbf{e}_{1}$ | $e_{23 k} \mathbf{e}_{k}=e_{231} \mathbf{e}_{1}+e_{232} \mathbf{e}_{2}+e_{233} \mathbf{e}_{3}=\mathbf{e}_{1}$ |
| 3 | 1 | $\mathbf{e}_{3} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}=\mathbf{e}_{2}$ | $e_{31 k} \mathbf{e}_{k}=e_{311} \mathbf{e}_{1}+e_{312} \mathbf{e}_{2}+e_{313} \mathbf{e}_{3}=\mathbf{e}_{2}$ |
| 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}=0$ | $e_{11 k} \mathbf{e}_{k}=e_{111} \mathbf{e}_{1}+e_{112} \mathbf{e}_{2}+e_{113} \mathbf{e}_{3}=0$ |
| 2 | 2 | $\mathbf{e}_{2} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}=0$ | $e_{22 k} \mathbf{e}_{k}=e_{221} \mathbf{e}_{1}+e_{222} \mathbf{e}_{2}+e_{223} \mathbf{e}_{3}=0$ |
| 2 | 1 | $\mathbf{e}_{2} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}=-\mathbf{e}_{3}$ | $e_{21 k} \mathbf{e}_{k}=e_{211} \mathbf{e}_{1}+e_{212} \mathbf{e}_{2}+e_{213} \mathbf{e}_{3}=-\mathbf{e}_{3}$ |
| 3 | 2 | $\mathbf{e}_{3} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}=-\mathbf{e}_{1}$ | $e_{32 k} \mathbf{e}_{k}=e_{321} \mathbf{e}_{1}+e_{322} \mathbf{e}_{2}+e_{323} \mathbf{e}_{3}=-\mathbf{e}_{1}$ |
| 3 | 3 | $\mathbf{e}_{3} \times \mathbf{e}_{3}=0$ | $e_{33 k} \mathbf{e}_{k}=e_{331} \mathbf{e}_{1}+e_{332} \mathbf{e}_{2}+e_{333} \mathbf{e}_{3}=0$ |

## The Dyad

- One exceedingly important object that you can also produce from taking a product of two vectors is a Tensor. Naturally, we shall call such a product a "Tensor Product"
- The symbol is called a dyad operator, $\otimes$. It combines the product sign and a circle.
- The tensor product is therefore also called a dyad product.
- A dyad is defined by what it does when it acts on another vector:
$(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{c}=(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c}) \mathbf{a}$


## Components of a Dyad

$$
\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}=\left(a_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) \otimes\left(b_{j} \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)=a_{i} b_{j} \mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}
$$

- There are nine base dyads for expressing every tensor: $\mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{3}, \mathbf{e}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2} \otimes$ $\mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{3}, \mathbf{e}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{3}$

| Product | Right <br> wrong | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha \mathbf{u}$ | Correct | Scaling a vector, multiplication of a scalar and a vector; No explicit sign required |
| $\mathbf{u} \beta \mathbf{v}$ | Error | $\mathbf{u} \beta$ is a scaled vector whose product with $\mathbf{v}$ is ambiguous. Possible additional information can make it ( $\mathbf{u} \beta$ ) . $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \times(\beta \mathbf{v})$, or $\mathbf{u} \otimes(\beta \mathbf{v})$. They have different meanings that cannot be reliable guessed unless you supply the needed information a priori. |
| $\beta \alpha$ | Correct | Product of two scalars; No explicit sign required |
| vu | Error | Product of two vectors; $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u}$ <br> Explicit disambiguating sign required. We note here that certain authors imply this simple concatenation as the way they represent the tensor product, $\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u}$. In most current Literature on the subject, the tensor or dyad sign is the preferred way to represent this product. We retain that more popular convention here and subsequently. |
| $\beta(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v})$ | Correct | Vector product of two vectors gives a vector. Multiplying this result by a scalar does not require another sign. The order of the scaling is NOT important: |

$$
\beta(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v})=\beta \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u} \times \beta \mathbf{v}=(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \beta
$$

The order of the appearance of the vectors is inviolable:

$$
\beta(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \neq \beta \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{v} \times \beta \mathbf{u} \neq(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \beta
$$

| Product | Right or wrong | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \alpha$ | Correct | The dot product of a vector with a scaled vector. No ambiguity is created with the location of $\alpha ; \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \alpha,(\mathbf{u} \alpha) \cdot \mathbf{v}$, or $\alpha \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ all mean the same thing. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \beta \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \\ & \times \mathbf{w} \alpha \end{aligned}$ | Correct | Scalar triple product with vector scaling along. Result is the same as $(\beta \alpha) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}=$ $(\beta \alpha) \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \beta \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v} \\ & \times \mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$ | Error | Vector triple product with vector scaling along. Vector product is not associative: $\begin{aligned} \beta \mathbf{u} \times(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}) & =\beta(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{v}-\beta(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{w} \\ & \neq \beta(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \times \mathbf{w}=\beta(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{v}-\beta(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{u} \end{aligned}$ <br> Parentheses are required to show which product is intended. |
| $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w}$ | Correct | Only one interpretation makes sense: $(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{u}$. |
| $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w}$ | Correct | Treat the vector cross as a tensor, then obtain the LHS: $(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \otimes \mathbf{w}=\mathbf{u} \times(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w})$ The two different interpretations evaluate to the same value. |

## Vectors \& Their Matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{a} & =\left[\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =a_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+a_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}+a_{3} \mathbf{e}_{3}=a_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i} \\
\mathbf{b} & =\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{e}_{1} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2} \\
\mathbf{e}_{3}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =b_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+b_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}+b_{3} \mathbf{e}_{3}=b_{j} \mathbf{e}_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dyads \& Matrices

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}=\left[\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1} b_{1} & a_{1} b_{2} & a_{1} b_{3} \\
a_{2} b_{1} & a_{2} b_{2} & a_{2} b_{3} \\
a_{3} b_{1} & a_{3} b_{2} & a_{3} b_{3}
\end{array}\right] \otimes\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{e}_{1} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2} \\
\mathbf{e}_{3}
\end{array}\right] \\
\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}=\left[\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right] \otimes\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1} b_{1} & a_{1} b_{2} & a_{1} b_{3} \\
a_{2} b_{1} & a_{2} b_{2} & a_{2} b_{3} \\
a_{3} b_{1} & a_{3} b_{2} & a_{3} b_{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{e}_{1} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2} \\
\mathbf{e}_{3}
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Obtain the trace of a dyad by changing the dyad operator into a dot as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}) & =a_{i} b_{j} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j}\right) \\
& =a_{i} b_{j}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right) \\
& =a_{i} b_{j} \delta_{i j} \\
& =a_{i} b_{i}=a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}+a_{3} b_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is the inner product as can be seen from the matrix: The scalar product of operands.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} b_{1} & a_{1} b_{2} & a_{1} b_{3} \\
a_{2} b_{1} & a_{2} b_{2} & a_{2} b_{3} \\
a_{3} b_{1} & a_{3} b_{2} & a_{3} b_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

