

Tutorial Two

OA Fakinlede

MEG 324, SSG 321 www.s2pAfrica.org, www.oafak.com

Q2.9 Show that $[(\mathbf{S}^c \mathbf{u}) \times] = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u} \times) \mathbf{S}^T$

The LHS can be written as:

$$[(\mathbf{S}^c \mathbf{u}) \times] = e_{ijk} (\mathbf{S}^c \mathbf{u})_j \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_k$$

where $\mathbf{S}^c = \frac{1}{2} e_{jab} e_{\beta cd} S_{ac} S_{bd} \mathbf{e}_j \otimes \mathbf{e}_\beta$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}^c \mathbf{u} &= \left(\frac{1}{2} e_{jab} e_{\beta cd} S_{ac} S_{bd} \mathbf{e}_j \otimes \mathbf{e}_\beta \right) (u_m \mathbf{e}_m) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e_{jab} e_{\beta cd} S_{ac} S_{bd} \mathbf{e}_j \delta_{\beta m} u_m \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e_{jab} e_{\beta cd} u_\beta S_{ac} S_{bd} \mathbf{e}_j \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathbf{S}^c \mathbf{u}) \times] &= \frac{1}{2} e_{ijk} e_{jab} e_{\beta cd} u_\beta S_{ac} S_{bd} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_k \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e_{\beta cd} (\delta_{ka} \delta_{ib} - \delta_{kb} \delta_{ia}) u_\beta S_{ac} S_{bd} \mathbf{e}_i \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e_{\beta cd} u_\beta (S_{kc} S_{id} - S_{ic} S_{kd}) \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2} e_{\beta cd} u_\beta S_{kc} S_{id} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_k - \frac{1}{2} e_{\beta cd} u_\beta S_{ic} S_{kd} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_k \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e_{\beta cd} u_\beta S_{kc} S_{id} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_k + \frac{1}{2} e_{\beta dc} u_\beta S_{ic} S_{kd} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_k \\ &= e_{\beta cd} u_\beta S_{kc} S_{id} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_k \end{aligned}$$

On the RHS

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{u} \times) \mathbf{S}^T &= (e_{\alpha\beta\gamma} u_\beta \mathbf{e}_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{e}_\gamma) (S_{ki} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_k) \\ &= e_{\alpha\beta\gamma} u_\beta S_{k\gamma} \mathbf{e}_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{e}_k. \end{aligned}$$

We can therefore write,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u} \times) \mathbf{S}^T &= (S_{ir} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_r) (e_{\alpha\beta\gamma} u_\beta S_{k\gamma} \mathbf{e}_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{e}_k) \\ &= e_{\alpha\beta\gamma} u_\beta S_{i\alpha} S_{k\gamma} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_k \end{aligned}$$

which on $\alpha \rightarrow d, \gamma \rightarrow c$ is the same as the LHS \Rightarrow
 $[(\mathbf{S}^c \mathbf{u}) \times] = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u} \times) \mathbf{S}^T$

as required.

Q2.20 Given an arbitrary tensor \mathbf{T} a skew tensor \mathbf{W} and a symmetric tensor \mathbf{S} . Show that

$$\mathbf{S}:\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{S}:\mathbf{T}^T = \mathbf{S}:\text{sym } \mathbf{T}$$
$$\mathbf{W}:\mathbf{T} = -\mathbf{W}:\mathbf{T}^T$$

Note that

$$\mathbf{T} = \text{sym } \mathbf{T} + \text{skw } \mathbf{T},$$

and

$$\mathbf{T}^T = \text{sym } \mathbf{T} - \text{skw } \mathbf{T}$$

Also note that the inner product between a skew and a symmetric tensor vanishes. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{S}:\mathbf{T} &= \mathbf{S}:(\text{sym } \mathbf{T} + \text{skw } \mathbf{T}) \\ &= \mathbf{S}:\text{sym } \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{S}:\text{skw } \mathbf{T} \\ &= \mathbf{S}:\text{sym } \mathbf{T} \\ &= \mathbf{S}:\mathbf{T}^T\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{W}:\mathbf{T} &= \mathbf{W}:(\text{sym } \mathbf{T} + \text{skw } \mathbf{T}) \\ &= \mathbf{W}:\text{sym } \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{W}:\text{skw } \mathbf{T} \\ &= \mathbf{W}:\text{skw } \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{W}:(\text{sym } \mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T}^T) = -\mathbf{W}:\mathbf{T}^T\end{aligned}$$

Q2.20b Given an arbitrary tensor \mathbf{T} a skew tensor \mathbf{W} and a symmetric tensor \mathbf{S} . Show that

$$\mathbf{S} : \text{skw } \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{S} : \mathbf{W} = 0$$

To show that $\mathbf{S} : \mathbf{W} = 0$. Observe that, in component form,

$$\mathbf{S} = S_{ij}(\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j), \mathbf{W} = W_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{e}_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{e}_\beta).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{W} &= S_{ij} W_{\alpha\beta} (\mathbf{e}_j \otimes \mathbf{e}_i) (\mathbf{e}_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{e}_\beta) \\ &= S_{ij} W_{\alpha\beta} (\mathbf{e}_j \otimes \mathbf{e}_\beta) \delta_{i\alpha} = S_{ij} W_{i\beta} \mathbf{e}_j \otimes \mathbf{e}_\beta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{W}) &= S_{ij} W_{\alpha\beta} \text{tr}(\mathbf{e}_j \otimes \mathbf{e}_\beta) \delta_{i\alpha} = S_{ij} W_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{j\beta} \delta_{i\alpha} \\ &= S_{ij} W_{ij} = \mathbf{S} : \mathbf{W} \end{aligned}$$

$$= S_{ji} W_{ij} = -S_{ji} W_{ji} = -S_{ij} W_{ij} = -\mathbf{S} : \mathbf{W}$$

Which vanishes because it is equal to the negative of itself.

$$\mathbf{S} : \text{skw } \mathbf{T} = 0$$

Q2.26 Use the expressions

$$(\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T})^c = \mathbf{S}^c + \mathbf{T}^c + \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S}^T + \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{T}^T - \text{tr}(\mathbf{T}) \mathbf{S}^T - \text{tr}(\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{T}^T + [\text{tr}(\mathbf{S}) \text{tr}(\mathbf{T}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{ST})] \mathbf{I}$$

and

$$\det(\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T}) = \det(\mathbf{S}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{T}^c \mathbf{S}^T) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{S}^c \mathbf{T}^T) + \det(\mathbf{T})$$

$$\text{to show that } (\mathbf{I} + (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times))^{-1} = \frac{\mathbf{I} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \times + \boldsymbol{\omega} \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}}{1 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|^2}$$

For any invertible tensor \mathbf{T} ,

$$\mathbf{T}^{-1} = \frac{\mathbf{T}^{cT}}{\det \mathbf{T}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{I} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \times) &= \det \mathbf{I} + \det(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times) + (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times)^c : \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{I}^c : (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times) \\ &= 1 + 0 + |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2 + 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{I} + (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times))^c &= [(1 + \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times)) \mathbf{I} - (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times)^T + (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times)^c] \\ &= \mathbf{I} + (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times) + \boldsymbol{\omega} \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega} \end{aligned}$$

See Q2.33

so that

$$(\mathbf{I} + (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times))^{-1} = \frac{\mathbf{I} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \times + \boldsymbol{\omega} \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}}{1 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|^2}$$

Q2.28 Use the expressions

$$(\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T})^c = \mathbf{S}^c + \mathbf{T}^c + \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S}^T + \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{T}^T - \text{tr}(\mathbf{T}) \mathbf{S}^T - \text{tr}(\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{T}^T + [\text{tr}(\mathbf{S}) \text{tr}(\mathbf{T}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{ST})] \mathbf{I}$$

to show that for an arbitrary tensor \mathbf{T} ,

$$(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{T})^c = \mathbf{T}^c + \mathbf{I}(1 + \text{tr} \mathbf{T}) - \mathbf{T}^T$$

and that

$$(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v})^c = \mathbf{I}(1 + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u}$$

Substituting the identity tensor for \mathbf{S} in the given expression, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{T})^c &= \mathbf{T}^c + \mathbf{I} + (3 \text{tr} \mathbf{T} - \text{tr} \mathbf{T}) \mathbf{I} - 3 \mathbf{T}^T - \mathbf{I} \text{tr} \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{T}^T + \mathbf{T}^T \\ &= \mathbf{T}^c + \mathbf{I} + 2 \text{tr} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{I} - \text{tr} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{T}^T \\ &= \mathbf{T}^c + \mathbf{I}(1 + \text{tr} \mathbf{T}) - \mathbf{T}^T \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v})^c &= (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v})^c + \mathbf{I}(1 + \text{tr}(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v})) - (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v})^T \\ &= \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{I}(1 + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u} \\ &= \mathbf{I}(1 + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u} \end{aligned}$$

Q2.29 Using direct notation only, show that the determinant of a vector cross is zero.

Given basis vectors, $\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \mathbf{g}_3$, the third invariant of $\boldsymbol{\omega} \times$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times) &= \det(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times) \\ &= \frac{[\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{g}_1, \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{g}_2, \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{g}_3]}{[\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \mathbf{g}_3]} \\ &= \frac{[\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{g}_1, (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times)^c(\mathbf{g}_2 \times \mathbf{g}_3)]}{[\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \mathbf{g}_3]} \\ &= \frac{[\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{g}_1, (\boldsymbol{\omega} \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega})(\mathbf{g}_2 \times \mathbf{g}_3)]}{[\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \mathbf{g}_3]} \end{aligned}$$

upon noting that the cofactor,

$$(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times)^c = (\boldsymbol{\omega} \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}).$$

See Q2.33

And since $(\boldsymbol{\omega} \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega})$ is symmetric, the numerator above is,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{g}_1) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\omega} \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega})(\mathbf{g}_2 \times \mathbf{g}_3) \\ &= (\mathbf{g}_2 \times \mathbf{g}_3) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\omega} \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega})(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{g}_1) \\ &= (\mathbf{g}_2 \times \mathbf{g}_3) \cdot [\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{g}_1)]\boldsymbol{\omega} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that $I_3(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times) = \det(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times) = 0$.

Use the fact that the cofactor of any tensor can be written as

$$\mathbf{S}^c = (\mathbf{S}^2 - I_1 \mathbf{S} + I_2 \mathbf{I})^T$$

to show that the cofactor of the sum of two tensors can be expressed in terms of the constituent tensors as,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T})^c \\ &= \mathbf{S}^c + \mathbf{T}^c + \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S}^T + \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{T}^T - \text{tr}(\mathbf{T}) \mathbf{S}^T + \text{tr}(\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{T}^T + [\text{tr}(\mathbf{S}) \text{tr}(\mathbf{T}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{ST})] \mathbf{I} \end{aligned}$$

Q2.34 Application of Cayley-Hamilton Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T})^c &= [(\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T})^2 - I_1(\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T}) + I_2\mathbf{I}]^T \\
 &= \mathbf{S}^c + \mathbf{T}^c + \mathbf{T}^T\mathbf{S}^T + \mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{T}^T - \text{tr}(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{S}^T + \text{tr}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{T}^T + [\text{tr}(\mathbf{S})\text{tr}(\mathbf{T}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{ST})]\mathbf{I}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bullet (\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T})^c &= \left\{ (\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T})^2 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T})(\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T}) + \frac{1}{2} [\text{tr}^2(\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T})^2] \mathbf{I} \right\}^T \\
 &= \left\{ \mathbf{S}^2 + \mathbf{T}^2 + \mathbf{TS} + \mathbf{ST} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{S} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{T} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{T} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{S} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} [\text{tr}^2\mathbf{S} + \text{tr}^2\mathbf{T} + 2\text{tr}(\mathbf{S})\text{tr}(\mathbf{T}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{S}^2) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{T}^2) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{TS}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{ST})] \mathbf{I} \right\}^T \\
 &= \left(\mathbf{S}^2 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{S} + \frac{1}{2} [\text{tr}^2(\mathbf{S}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{S})^2] \mathbf{I} \right)^T + \left(\mathbf{T}^2 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{T} + \frac{1}{2} [\text{tr}^2(\mathbf{T}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{T})^2] \mathbf{I} \right)^T \\
 &\quad + \mathbf{T}^T\mathbf{S}^T + \mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{T}^T - \text{tr}(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{S}^T - \text{tr}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{T}^T + [\text{tr}(\mathbf{S})\text{tr}(\mathbf{T}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{ST})]\mathbf{I} \\
 &= \mathbf{S}^c + \mathbf{T}^c + \mathbf{T}^T\mathbf{S}^T + \mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{T}^T - \text{tr}(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{S}^T - \text{tr}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{T}^T + [\text{tr}(\mathbf{S})\text{tr}(\mathbf{T}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{ST})]\mathbf{I}
 \end{aligned}$$

Remember the premise $\mathbf{S}^c = (\mathbf{S}^2 - I_1\mathbf{S} + I_2\mathbf{I})^T$

Q2.38. For the invertible tensor \mathbf{T} and the tensors \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{V} and \mathbf{U} , show that

$$(\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{V})^{-1} = \mathbf{T}^{-1} - \mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{F}^{-1} + \mathbf{V}\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{U})^{-1}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{T}^{-1}$$

First consider the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T} & -\mathbf{U} \\ \mathbf{V} & \mathbf{F}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Its inverse is obtained by solving the matrix equation,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T} & -\mathbf{U} \\ \mathbf{V} & \mathbf{F}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \text{ which yields,}$$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$$

$$-\mathbf{A}\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{F}^{-1} = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{F} \text{ so that,}$$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{V}) = \mathbf{I}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{V})^{-1}$$

Q2.38... For the invertible tensor \mathbf{T} and the tensors \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{V} and \mathbf{U} , show that

$$(\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{UFV})^{-1} = \mathbf{T}^{-1} - \mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{F}^{-1} + \mathbf{VT}^{-1}\mathbf{U})^{-1}\mathbf{VT}^{-1}$$

But $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{T}^{-1} - \mathbf{BVT}^{-1}$ substituting in the second equation,

from which we can now write that $(\mathbf{T}^{-1} - \mathbf{BVT}^{-1})\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{BF}^{-1}$ so that

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{F}^{-1} + \mathbf{VT}^{-1}\mathbf{U})^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{T}^{-1} - \mathbf{BVT}^{-1} = \mathbf{T}^{-1} - \mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{F}^{-1} + \mathbf{VT}^{-1}\mathbf{U})^{-1}\mathbf{VT}^{-1}$$

Finally $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{UFV})^{-1} = \mathbf{T}^{-1} - \mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{F}^{-1} + \mathbf{VT}^{-1}\mathbf{U})^{-1}\mathbf{VT}^{-1}$ as required

In the special case when \mathbf{F} is the identity tensor, we have,

$$(\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{UV})^{-1} = \mathbf{T}^{-1} - \mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{VT}^{-1}\mathbf{U})^{-1}\mathbf{VT}^{-1}$$

Q2.39 Trivial

Q2.41 Given that the cofactor $A^c \equiv \text{cof } A = A^{-T} \det A$ satisfies $Aa \times Ab = A^c(a \times b)$. Show by direct methods that transposing does not alter the determinant of a tensor

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det A &= \frac{[Aa, Ab, Ac]}{[a, b, c]} = \frac{Aa \cdot Ab \times Ac}{[a, b, c]} = \frac{Aa \cdot A^c(b \times c)}{[a, b, c]} \\
 &= \frac{(b \times c) \cdot A^{cT} Aa}{[a, b, c]} \\
 &= \frac{(b \times c) \cdot A^{-1} \det A^T Aa}{[a, b, c]} \\
 &= \det A^T \frac{(b \times c) \cdot A^{-1} Aa}{[a, b, c]} = \det A^T
 \end{aligned}$$

upon noting that $A^T Aa = Ia = a$.

Q2.42 For a scalar α show that $\det \alpha \mathbf{A} = \alpha^3 \det \mathbf{A}$

Given that $\det \mathbf{A} = \frac{[\mathbf{Aa}, \mathbf{Ab}, \mathbf{Ac}]}{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}]}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\det \alpha \mathbf{A} &= \frac{[\alpha \mathbf{Aa}, \alpha \mathbf{Ab}, \alpha \mathbf{Ac}]}{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}]} \\ &= \alpha^3 \frac{[\mathbf{Aa}, \mathbf{Ab}, \mathbf{Ac}]}{[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}]} \\ &= \alpha^3 \det \mathbf{A}\end{aligned}$$

Q2.43 Define the inner product of tensors \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{S} as $\mathbf{T}:\mathbf{S} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{T}^T\mathbf{S}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{TS}^T)$ show that $I_1(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{T}:\mathbf{I}$

$$\mathbf{T}:\mathbf{S} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{T}^T\mathbf{S}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{TS}^T)$$

Let $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}$;

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{T}:\mathbf{I} &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{T}^T\mathbf{I}) \\ &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{TI}) \\ &= I_1(\mathbf{T})\end{aligned}$$

Q2.44. Show that every skew tensor is traceless.

$$\text{tr } \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{I} : \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{I} : \mathbf{W}^T = -\mathbf{I} : \mathbf{W} = 0$$

The second equality because the trace operation does not change with transposing. The third equation from the fact that the transpose of a skew tensor is its opposite. The result all comes out on one line with no appeal to components.

Lastly, recall that trace is a linear operation. Hence,

$$\text{tr } \mathbf{W} = \text{tr } \mathbf{W}^T = -\text{tr } \mathbf{W} = 0$$

A component-based proof is on the same page in the book

Q2.46 In component form, the third tensor invariant of a tensor \mathbf{T} , $I_3(\mathbf{T}) = e_{\alpha\beta\gamma}T_{1\alpha}T_{2\beta}T_{3\gamma} = \det \mathbf{T}$. Show that $e_{ijk}T_{i\alpha}T_{j\beta}T_{k\gamma} = e_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \det \mathbf{T}$

We do this by first establishing the fact that the LHS is completely antisymmetric in α, β and γ . We note that the indices i, j and k are dummy and therefore,

$$e_{ijk}T_{i\alpha}T_{j\beta}T_{k\gamma} = -e_{kji}T_{i\alpha}T_{j\beta}T_{k\gamma} = -e_{kji}T_{k\gamma}T_{i\alpha}T_{j\beta} = -e_{ijk}T_{i\gamma}T_{k\alpha}T_{j\beta}$$

Showing that a simple swap of α and γ changes the sign. Thus we establish anti-symmetry in α, β and γ .

Q2.46 In component form, the third tensor invariant of a tensor \mathbf{T} , $I_3(\mathbf{T}) = e_{\alpha\beta\gamma}T_{1\alpha}T_{2\beta}T_{3\gamma} = \det \mathbf{T}$. Show that $e_{ijk}T_{i\alpha}T_{j\beta}T_{k\gamma} = e_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \det \mathbf{T}$

Noting that both sides of

$$e_{ijk}T_{i\alpha}T_{j\beta}T_{k\gamma} = e_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \det \mathbf{T}$$

take the same values as the determinant of \mathbf{T} when α, β and γ are equal to 1, 2 and 3 respectively. The arrangement of the indices makes this value positive or negative in the same antisymmetric way. This completes the proof

$$e_{ijk}T_{i\alpha}T_{j\beta}T_{k\gamma} = e_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \det \mathbf{T}$$